

# ON GÂTEAUX DIFFERENTIABILITY OF POINTWISE LIPSCHITZ MAPPINGS

JAKUB DUDA

**ABSTRACT.** We prove that for every function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , where  $X$  is a separable Banach space and  $Y$  is a Banach space with RNP, there exists a set  $A \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  such that  $f$  is Gâteaux differentiable at all  $x \in S(f) \setminus A$ , where  $S(f)$  is the set of points where  $f$  is pointwise-Lipschitz. This improves a result of Bongiorno. As a corollary, we obtain that every  $K$ -monotone function on a separable Banach space is Hadamard differentiable outside of a set belonging to  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ ; this improves a result due to Borwein and Wang. Another corollary is that if  $X$  is Asplund,  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  cone monotone,  $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuous convex, then there exists a point in  $X$ , where  $f$  is Hadamard differentiable and  $g$  is Fréchet differentiable.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The classical Rademacher theorem [9] concerning a.e. differentiability of Lipschitz functions defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  was extended by Stepanoff to pointwise Lipschitz functions [10, 11]. D. Bongiorno [2, Theorem 1] proved a version for infinite-dimensional mappings; namely, that for every  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , where  $X$  is a separable Banach space and  $Y$  is a Banach space with RNP, there exists an Aronszajn null set  $A \subset X$  (see e.g. [1] for the definition of Aronszajn null sets) such that  $f$  is Gâteaux differentiable at all  $x \in S(f) \setminus A$  (here,  $S(f)$  is the set of points where  $f$  is pointwise-Lipschitz). This generalized results for Lipschitz functions obtained by Aronszajn, Christensen, Mankiewicz, and Phelps; see e.g. [1] for the definitions of various notions of null sets they used. We prove a stronger version of infinite dimensional Stepanoff-like theorem, which asserts that under the same assumptions as in [2, Theorem 1], the set  $A$  can be taken in the class  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  defined by Preiss and Zajíček [8]; see Theorem 10. By results of [8],  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  is a strict subclass of Aronszajn null sets. Recently, Zajíček [12] proved that the sets in  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  (and even  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ ) are  $\Gamma$ -null, which is a notion of null sets due to Lindenstrauss and Preiss [7] (here, a definition and basic properties of this notion can be found). Thus, Theorem 10 has the following corollary: if  $X$  is a Banach space with separable dual (i.e. an Asplund space), and  $Y$  is a Banach space with RNP,  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is pointwise-Lipschitz at all  $x \in X \setminus A$  where  $A \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ ,  $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous convex, then there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $f$  is Gâteaux differentiable at  $x$  and  $g$  is Fréchet differentiable at  $x$ . In some sense, our proof of Theorem 10 is simpler than the proof of [2, Theorem 1]; some of the (rather cumbersome) measurability considerations from [2] are replaced by Lemma 6 and the construction of

---

*Date:* July 26, 2006.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46G05; Secondary 46T20.

*Key words and phrases.* Gâteaux differentiable function, Radon-Nikodým property, differentiability of Lipschitz functions, pointwise-Lipschitz functions, cone monotonone functions.

The author was supported in part by ISF.

a total set from [2] is replaced by the Lipschitz property of certain restrictions of the given mapping. In the proof, we use several ideas from [8].

Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $\emptyset \neq K \subset X$  be a cone. Following [3], we say that  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $K$ -monotone provided  $f$  or  $-f$  is  $K$ -increasing (we say that  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $K$ -increasing provided  $x \leq_K y$  implies  $f(x) \leq f(y)$  whenever  $x, y \in X$ ; here,  $x \leq_K y$  means  $y - x \in K$ ). Borwein, Burke and Lewis [3] proved that every  $K$ -monotone  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is Gâteaux differentiable outside of a Haar null set (see [1] for definition) provided  $X$  is separable and  $K$  is closed convex with  $\text{int}(K) \neq \emptyset$ . This was strengthened by Borwein and Wang [4] who showed that “Haar null” can be replaced by “Aronszajn null”. In section 5, as a corollary to Theorem 10, we obtain that an analogous result holds if we replace “Haar null” by the class  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  defined by Preiss and Zajíček [8]; see Theorem 15 for details. The class  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  is a strict subclass of Aronszajn null sets (see [8, p. 19]) and thus our result improves the result due to Borwein and Wang. [4, Proposition 16(iv)] shows that instead of “Gâteaux differentiable” we can write “Hadamard differentiable” (see Corollary 17). Our result has another interesting corollary; namely, if  $X$  has a separable dual (i.e.  $X$  is an Asplund space),  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $K$ -monotone,  $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous convex, then there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $f$  is Hadamard differentiable at  $x$ , and  $g$  is Fréchet differentiable at  $x$  (see Corollary 18). This does not follow from the results of Borwein and Wang since Aronszajn null sets and  $\Gamma$ -null sets are incomparable. It seems to be a difficult open problem whether  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  (see [8]). If this were true, then our theorem would also hold with  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  in place of  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ . Thus, it remains open, whether we can replace  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  by  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  in Theorem 15 and Corollary 17. Going in another direction, the author [6] proved some results about a.e. differentiability of vector-valued cone monotone mappings.

The current paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains basic definitions and facts. Section 3 contains auxiliary results. Section 4 contains the proofs of the main Theorem 10, and Corollary 11. Section 5 contains the proofs of Theorem 15, and Corollaries 17 and 18.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

All Banach spaces are assumed to be real. By  $\lambda$  we will denote the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $X$  be a Banach space. By  $B(x, r)$  we will denote the open ball with center  $x \in X$  and radius  $r > 0$ , and by  $S_X$  we denote  $\{x \in X : \|x\| = 1\}$ . If  $M \subset X$ , then by  $d_M(x) := \inf\{\|y - x\| : y \in M\}$  we denote the distance from  $x \in X$  to  $M$ .

Let  $X, Y$  be Banach spaces. We say that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is *pointwise Lipschitz* at  $x \in X$ , provided  $\limsup_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{\|f(x) - f(y)\|}{\|x - y\|} < \infty$ . By  $S(f)$ , we will denote the set of points of  $X$  where  $f$  is pointwise Lipschitz. By  $\text{Lip}(f)$  we will denote the usual Lipschitz constant of  $f$ .

In the following, let  $X$  be a Banach space. If  $f$  is a mapping from  $X$  to a Banach space  $Y$  and  $x, v \in X$ , then we consider the directional derivative  $f'(x, v)$  defined by

$$(1) \quad f'(x, v) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x + tv) - f(x)}{t}.$$

If  $x \in X$ ,  $f'(x, v)$  exists for all  $v \in X$ , and  $T(v) := f'(x, v)$  is a bounded linear operator from  $X$  to  $Y$ , then we say that  $f$  is *Gâteaux differentiable* at  $x$ . If  $f$  is

Gâteaux differentiable at  $x$  and the limit in (1) is uniform in  $\|v\| = 1$ , then we say that  $f$  is *Fréchet differentiable* at  $x$ . If  $f$  is Gâteaux differentiable at  $x$ , and the limit in (1) is uniform with respect to norm-compact sets, then we say that  $f$  is *Hadamard differentiable* at  $x$ .

We will need the following notion of “smallness” of sets in Banach spaces from [8].

**Definition 1.** Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $M \subset X$ ,  $a \in X$ . Then we say that

- (i)  $M$  is *porous* at  $a$  if there exists  $c > 0$  such that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist  $b \in X$  and  $r > 0$  such that  $\|a - b\| < \varepsilon$ ,  $M \cap B(b, r) = \emptyset$ , and  $r > c\|a - b\|$ .
- (ii)  $M$  is *porous at  $a$  in direction  $v$*  if the  $b \in X$  from (i) verifying the porosity of  $M$  at  $a$  can be always found in the form  $b = a + tv$ , where  $t \geq 0$ . We say that  $M$  is *directionally porous at  $a$*  if there exists  $v \in X$  such that  $M$  is porous at  $a$  in direction  $v$ .
- (iii)  $M$  is *directionally porous* if  $M$  is directionally porous at each of its points.
- (iv)  $M$  is  *$\sigma$ -directionally porous* if it is a countable union of directionally porous sets.

For a recent survey of properties of negligible sets, see [13]. We will also need the following notion of “null” sets in a Banach space. It was defined in [8].

**Definition 2.** Let  $X$  be a separable Banach space and  $0 \neq v \in X$ . Then  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(v, \varepsilon)$  is the system of all Borel sets  $B \subset X$  such that  $\{t : \varphi(t) \in B\}$  is Lebesgue null whenever  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$  is such that the function  $t \rightarrow \varphi(t) - tv$  has Lipschitz constant at most  $\varepsilon$ , and  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(v)$  is the system of all sets  $B$  such that  $B = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k$ , where  $B_k \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(v, \varepsilon_k)$  for some  $\varepsilon_k > 0$ .

We define  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  (resp.  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ ) as the system of those  $B \subset X$  that can be, for every given complete<sup>1</sup> sequence  $(v_n)_n$  in  $X$  (resp. for some sequence  $(v_n)_n$  in  $X$ ), written as  $B = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$ , where each  $B_n$  belongs to  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(v_n)$ .

The following simple lemma shows that every directionally porous set is contained in a set from  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ . As a corollary, we have the same result for  $\sigma$ -directionally porous sets.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $X$  be a separable Banach space, and  $A \subset X$  be directionally porous. Then there exists a set  $\hat{A} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  such that  $A \subset \hat{A}$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from the proof of [8, Theorem 10]; see also [8, Remark 6].  $\square$

The following simple lemma is proved in [2]:

**Lemma 4** ([2], Lemma 1). *Given  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $L, \delta > 0$ , let  $S$  be the set of all points  $x \in X$  such that  $\|f(x + h) - f(x)\| \leq L\|h\|$  whenever  $\|h\| < \delta$ . Then  $S$  is a closed set.*

### 3. AUXILIARY RESULTS

The following is an extension of [4, Lemma 3] to vector-valued setting.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $X, Y$  be Banach spaces,  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ . Fix  $v_1, v_2 \in X$ ,  $k, l, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $y, z \in Y$ . Then the set  $A(k, l, m, y, z)$  of all  $x \in X$  verifying*

$$(i) \quad \left\| \frac{f(x+tu) - f(x)}{t} - y \right\| < \frac{1}{t} \text{ for } \|u - v_1\| < 1/m \text{ and } 0 < t < 1/k;$$

<sup>1</sup>We say that  $(v_n)_n \subset X \setminus \{0\}$  is a *complete* sequence provided  $\overline{\text{span}}(v_n) = X$ .

(ii)  $\left\| \frac{f(x+tu)-f(x)}{t} - z \right\| < \frac{1}{l}$  for  $\|u - v_2\| < 1/m$  and  $0 < t < 1/k$ ; and  
 (iii)  $\left\| \frac{f(x+s(v_1+v_2))-f(x)}{s} - (y+z) \right\| > \frac{3}{l}$  occurs for arbitrarily small  $s > 0$ ,  
 is directionally porous in  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in A(k, l, m, y, z)$ . Choose  $0 < s < 1/k$  such that the inequality in (iii) holds. We claim that  $B(x + sv_1, \frac{s}{m}) \cap A(k, l, m, y, z) = \emptyset$ .

Indeed, for  $\|h\| < \frac{1}{m}$ , if  $x + s(v_1 + h)$  satisfies (ii), we have

$$(2) \quad \left\| \frac{f(x + s(v_1 + h) + su) - f(x + s(v_1 + h))}{s} - z \right\| < \frac{1}{l},$$

for  $\|u - v_2\| < \frac{1}{m}$ . By (i) we get

$$(3) \quad \left\| \frac{f(x + s(v_1 + h)) - f(x)}{s} - y \right\| < \frac{1}{l}.$$

By the triangle inequality, (2), and (3) we get

$$\left\| \frac{f(x + s(v_1 + h) + su) - f(x)}{s} - (y + z) \right\| < \frac{2}{l}, \text{ for } \|u - v_2\| < \frac{1}{m}.$$

Taking  $u = v_2 - h$ , we have

$$\left\| \frac{f(x + sv_1 + sv_2) - f(x)}{s} - (y + z) \right\| < \frac{2}{l}.$$

This choice contradicts the choice of  $s$ .  $\square$

Suppose that  $X, Y$  are Banach spaces,  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ . For  $x \in X$ ,  $0 \neq v \in X$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$  by  $O(f, x, v, \varepsilon)$  we denote the expression

$$\sup \left\{ \left\| \frac{f(x + tv) - f(x)}{t} - \frac{f(x + sv) - f(x)}{s} \right\| : 0 < |t|, |s| < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

We also define

$$O(f, x, v) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} O(f, x, v, \varepsilon).$$

We borrow this definition from [8]. The following is true in general (in [8, Lemma 11] it is assumed that  $f$  is Lipschitz, but it is clearly not necessary):

$$(4) \quad f'(x, v) \text{ exists if and only if } O(f, x, v) = 0.$$

For the rest of this section,  $X$  will be a separable Banach space and  $Y$  will be a Banach space with RNP. Also,  $G \subset X$  will be a closed set and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  a mapping such that there exist  $L, \delta > 0$  with

$$(5) \quad \|f(y) - f(x)\| \leq L\|y - x\| \quad \text{whenever } y \in G, x \in B(y, \delta).$$

We also assume that  $D$  is a Borel subset of  $G$  such that the distance function  $d_G(x)$  is Gâteaux differentiable at each point  $x \in D$ .

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $X$  be separable,  $0 \neq v \in X$ , and we put  $g(x) := O(f, x, v)$ . Then  $g|_D$  is Borel measurable.*

*Proof.* Let  $w \in D$ . Then  $h = f|_{B(w, \delta/4) \cap G}$  is  $L$ -Lipschitz by (5), and thus  $Z = h(B(w, \delta/4) \cap G)$  is separable. Thus,  $Z$  can be isometrically embedded into  $\ell_\infty$ , and by [1, Lemma 1.1(ii)],  $h$  can be extended to an  $L$ -Lipschitz mapping  $H : X \rightarrow \ell_\infty$  (we identify  $Z$  with its isometric representation in  $\ell_\infty$  for the moment). By [8, Lemma 11(ii)],  $G(x) := O(H, x, v)$  is a Borel measurable function on  $X$ . We will

prove that  $g(x) = G(x)$  for all  $x \in B(w, \delta/4) \cap D$ , and conclude that  $g|_D$  is Borel measurable (by separability of  $X$ ).

Let  $x \in B(w, \delta/4) \cap D$ . Fix  $\gamma > 0$  such that  $B(x, 2\gamma) \subset B(w, \delta/4)$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and find  $0 < \tau < \varepsilon$  such that  $d_G(x + tv) < \frac{\varepsilon}{L}|t|$  and  $x + tv \in B(x, \gamma)$  whenever  $0 < |t| < \tau$ . Take  $\eta := \frac{1}{2} \min(\varepsilon, \tau, \frac{L\gamma}{\varepsilon})$ . For  $0 < |s|, |t| < \eta$  find  $y, z \in G \cap B(w, \delta/4)$  such that  $\|x + tv - y\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{L}|t|$  and  $\|x + sv - z\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{L}|s|$ . Then we have

$$\left\| \frac{f(x + tv) - f(y)}{t} \right\| \leq \frac{L}{|t|} \|x + tv - y\| \leq \varepsilon,$$

and similarly  $\left\| \frac{f(x + sv) - f(z)}{s} \right\| \leq \varepsilon$ . Also,

$$\left\| \frac{H(y) - H(x + tv)}{t} \right\| \leq \frac{L}{|t|} \|x + tv - y\| \leq \varepsilon,$$

and  $\left\| \frac{H(x + sv) - H(z)}{s} \right\| \leq \varepsilon$ . Thus using  $f(x) = H(x)$ ,  $f(y) = H(y)$ , and  $f(z) = H(z)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad & \left\| \frac{H(x + tv) - H(x)}{t} - \frac{H(x + sv) - H(x)}{s} \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| \frac{f(x + tv) - f(x)}{t} - \frac{f(x + sv) - f(x)}{s} \right\| + \left\| \frac{f(x + tv) - f(y)}{t} \right\| \\ & \quad + \left\| \frac{f(x + sv) - f(z)}{s} \right\| + \left\| \frac{H(y) - H(x + tv)}{t} \right\| + \left\| \frac{H(x + sv) - H(z)}{s} \right\| \\ & \leq O(f, x, v, \varepsilon) + 4\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

By taking a supremum over  $0 < |s|, |t| < \eta$  in (6), we obtain  $O(H, x, v, \eta) \leq O(f, x, v, \varepsilon) + 4\varepsilon$ . Send  $\eta \rightarrow 0+$  to get  $O(H, x, v) \leq O(f, x, v, \varepsilon) + 4\varepsilon$ , and then  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$  to see that  $O(H, x, v) \leq O(f, x, v)$ .

By (5) and  $H$  being  $L$ -Lipschitz, we can reverse the rôles of  $f$  and  $H$  in the above argument to show that  $O(f, x, v) \leq O(H, x, v)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 7.** *If  $x \in D$ ,  $0 \neq v \in X$ ,  $O(f, x, v) > 0$ ,  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\varphi(r) = x$ , and the mapping  $\psi : t \mapsto \varphi(t) - tv$  has Lipschitz constant strictly less than  $O(f, \varphi(r), v)/8L$ , then the mapping  $f \circ \varphi$  is not differentiable at  $r$ .*

*Proof.* Denote  $K := O(f, x, v) > 0$ . To prove the lemma, let  $\delta' > 0$  be such that  $x + tv \in B(x, \delta/2)$  and  $d_G(x + tv) < \frac{K}{16L}|t|$  for each  $0 < |t| < \delta'$ . Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $\tau = \min(\varepsilon, \delta', \frac{16L\delta}{2K})$ . By the assumptions on  $f$ , let  $0 < |t|, |s| < \tau$  such that

$$\left\| \frac{f(x + tv) - f(x)}{t} - \frac{f(x + sv) - f(x)}{s} \right\| > \frac{3}{4}O(f, x, v),$$

and estimate

$$\begin{aligned} D &:= \left\| \frac{f \circ \varphi(r + t) - f \circ \varphi(r)}{t} - \frac{f \circ \varphi(r + s) - f \circ \varphi(r)}{s} \right\| \\ &\geq \left\| \frac{f(x + tv) - f(x)}{t} - \frac{f(x + sv) - f(x)}{s} \right\| - \left\| \frac{f(x + tv) - f(\varphi(r + t))}{t} \right\| \\ &\quad - \left\| \frac{f(x + sv) - f(\varphi(r + s))}{s} \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Find  $y, z \in G \cap B(x, \delta)$  such that  $\|x + tv - y\| < \frac{K}{16L}|t|$  and  $\|x + sv - z\| < \frac{K}{16L}|s|$ . Then we have  $\left\| \frac{f(x+tv) - f(y)}{t} \right\| \leq \frac{L}{|t|} \|x + tv - y\| \leq \frac{K}{16}$ , and similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f(y) - f(\varphi(r+t))}{t} \right\| &\leq \frac{L}{|t|} \|y - \varphi(r+t)\| \\ &\leq \frac{L}{|t|} \|y - (x + tv)\| + \frac{L}{|t|} \|\varphi(r) + tv - \varphi(r+t)\| \\ &\leq \frac{K}{16} + \frac{L}{|t|} \|\psi(r) - \psi(r+t)\| \\ &\leq \frac{K}{16} + L \operatorname{Lip}(\psi) < \frac{K}{16} + \frac{K}{8} = \frac{3K}{16}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f(x+tv) - f(\varphi(r+t))}{t} \right\| &\leq \left\| \frac{f(x+tv) - f(y)}{t} \right\| + \left\| \frac{f(y) - f(\varphi(r+t))}{t} \right\| \\ &< \frac{K}{16} + \frac{3K}{16} = \frac{K}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Since an analogous estimate holds for  $\left\| \frac{f(x+sv) - f(\varphi(r+s))}{s} \right\|$ , we obtain  $D > \frac{3}{4}K - 2\frac{K}{4} = \frac{O(f, x, v)}{4}$ ; so  $O(f \circ \varphi, r, 1) \geq O(f, \varphi(r), v)/4$  is strictly positive as required.  $\square$

**Lemma 8.** *For each  $0 \neq u \in X$ , the set  $\Delta = \{x \in D : f'(x, u) \text{ does not exist}\}$  belongs to  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(u)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\Delta = \{x \in D : O(f, x, u) > 0\}$  by (4), and by Lemma 6 we have that  $g(x) = O(f, x, u)$  is Borel on  $D$ , we obtain that  $\Delta$  is Borel. By the same reasoning, each  $A_k = \{x \in \Delta : O(f, x, u) > \frac{1}{k}\}$  is Borel for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and we have  $\Delta = \bigcup_k A_k$ . To finish the proof of the lemma, it is enough to show that  $A_k \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(u, 1/16kL)$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  be fixed. If  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$  is such that the function  $t \rightarrow \varphi(t) - tu$  has Lipschitz constant at most  $1/16kL$ , then Lemma 7 implies that  $f \circ \varphi$  is not differentiable at any  $t$  for which  $\varphi(t) \in A_k$ . Hence  $B_k := \{t \in \mathbb{R} : \varphi(t) \in A_k\}$  is a subset of the set of points at which  $f \circ \varphi$  is not differentiable. Since  $f \circ \varphi$  is pointwise Lipschitz at all  $t$  such that  $\varphi(t) \in \Delta$ , and since  $Y$  has RNP, [2, Proposition 1] implies that  $\lambda(B_k) = 0$  as required for showing that  $A_k \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(u, 1/16kL)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $X$  be separable. Then there exists a set  $R \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  such that  $(N_f \cap D) \setminus R \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ , where  $N_f$  is the set of all points  $x \in X$  at which  $f$  is not Gâteaux differentiable.*

*Proof.* Let  $w \in D$ , and denote  $D_w = D \cap B(w, \delta/4)$ . If  $g := f|_{B(w, \delta/4) \cap G}$ , then  $g$  is  $L$ -Lipschitz on its domain (by (5)). Since  $T := g(B(w, \delta/4) \cap G)$  is separable, we will show that

$$Z := \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{u \in Y : u = f'(x, v) \text{ for some } x \in D_w, v \in X \setminus \{0\}\}$$

is a subset of  $W := \overline{\operatorname{span}}(T)$  (and thus is separable). Suppose that  $x \in D_w$ ,  $0 \neq v \in X$ , and  $f'(x, v)$  exists. Fix  $\gamma > 0$  such that  $B(x, 2\gamma) \subset B(w, \delta/4)$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and find  $\tau > 0$  such that for  $0 < |t| < \tau$  we have  $d_G(x + tv) < \frac{\varepsilon}{L}|t|$ ,  $x + tv \in B(x, \gamma)$ , and  $\left\| \frac{f(x+tv) - f(x)}{t} - f'(x, v) \right\| < \varepsilon$ . Let  $\eta = \min(\tau, \frac{L\gamma}{2\varepsilon})$  and

$0 < |t| < \eta$ . Find  $y \in G \cap B(w, \delta/4)$  with  $\|x + tv - y\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{L}|t|$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| f'(x, v) - \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{t} \right\| &\leq \varepsilon + \left\| \frac{f(x + tv) - f(x)}{t} - \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{t} \right\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \frac{L}{|t|} \|x + tv - y\| \leq 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\frac{f(y) - f(x)}{t} \in W$ , send  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$  to obtain  $d_W(f'(x, v)) = 0$ , and thus  $f'(x, v) \in W$ .

Since  $X, Z$  are separable, by  $R_w$  denote the set obtained as a union of all  $A(k, l, m, y, y') \cap D$  (see Lemma 5) where  $k, l, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $y, y'$  are chosen from a countable dense subset of  $Z$  and  $v_1, v_2$  are chosen from a countable dense subset of  $X$ . By Lemmas 5 and 3, there exists  $R'_w \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  such that  $R_w \subset R'_w$ . We have the following: if  $x \in D_w \setminus R'_w$ , then the following implication holds:

- (\*) If the directional derivative  $f'(x, u)$  exists in all directions  $u$  from a set  $U_x \subset X$  whose linear span is dense in  $X$ , then  $f'(x, v)$  exists for all  $v \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}} U_x$ <sup>2</sup>; furthermore,  $f'(x, \cdot)$  is bounded and linear on  $\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}} U_x$ .

The proof of (\*) is similar to the proof of [8, Theorem 2] and so we omit it.

For the rest of the proof, let  $(v_n)_n$  be a complete sequence in  $X$ . Let  $\Delta_n = \Delta_n(w)$  be the set  $\Delta$  from Lemma 8 applied to  $v_n$ ; the lemma implies that  $\Delta_n$  is Borel and  $\Delta_n \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(v_n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Denote  $F_w = D_w \setminus (\bigcup_n \Delta_n)$ . It follows that  $H_w := F_w \setminus R'_w$  is Borel. We will show that  $f$  is Gâteaux differentiable at each  $x \in H_w$ .

Let  $x \in H_w$ . Fix  $\gamma > 0$  such that  $B(x, 2\gamma) \subset B(w, \delta/4)$ . Let  $Q := \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{v_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . By (\*) we have a bounded linear mapping  $\hat{T} : Q \rightarrow Z$  such that  $\hat{T}(q) = f'(x, q)$  for each  $q \in Q$ . By the density of  $Q$ ,  $\hat{T}$  extends to a bounded linear mapping  $T : X \rightarrow Y$ . We have to show that  $f'(x, v) = T(v)$  for each  $0 \neq v \in X$ . Given  $0 \neq v \in X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , by the density of  $Q$  and continuity of  $T$  there exists  $q \in Q$  such that

$$(7) \quad \|v - q\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{9L} \text{ and } \|T(v - q)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

By the existence of  $f'(x, q)$  and by the differentiability of the distance function  $d_G(x)$  at the point  $x$ , there exists  $\tau_\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$(8) \quad \left\| \frac{f(x + tq) - f(x)}{t} - f'(x, q) \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3},$$

$x + tv \in B(x, \gamma)$ , and  $d_G(x + tv) < \frac{\varepsilon}{9L}|t|$  for each  $0 < |t| < \tau_\varepsilon$ . Let  $0 < |t| < \min(\tau_\varepsilon, 9\gamma L/2\varepsilon)$  and let  $y \in G \cap B(w, \delta/4)$  be such that  $\|x + tv - y\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{9L}|t|$ . Then  $\|x + tq - y\| \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{9L}|t|$ . Thus we have

$$(9) \quad \left\| \frac{f(x + tv) - f(x + tq)}{t} \right\| \leq \left\| \frac{f(x + tv) - f(y)}{t} \right\| + \left\| \frac{f(x + tq) - f(y)}{t} \right\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Now since  $f'(x, q) = T(q)$ , by (7), (8), and (9) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f(x + tv) - f(x)}{t} - T(v) \right\| &\leq \left\| \frac{f(x + tq) - f(x)}{t} - f'(x, q) \right\| \\ &\quad + \left\| \frac{f(x + tv) - f(x + tq)}{t} \right\| + \|T(v - q)\| \leq \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>2</sup>Here,  $\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}} V = \{\sum_{i=1}^n q_i v_i : q_i \in \mathbb{Q}, v_i \in V, i = 1, \dots, n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

for each  $0 < |t| < \tau_\varepsilon$ . This proves that  $f'(x, v)$  exists and  $f'(x, v) = T(v)$ . Thus  $f$  is Gâteaux differentiable at  $x$ .

Since there exist  $w_k \in D$  such that  $D = \bigcup_k (D \cap B(w_k, \delta/4))$ , let  $R = \bigcup_k R'_{w_k}$  we have that  $R$  is Borel and since

$$(10) \quad (N_f \cap D) \setminus R = \left( \bigcup_k ((N_f \cap D_{w_k}) \setminus R'_{w_k}) \right) \setminus R = \left( \bigcup_k (D_{w_k} \setminus H_{w_k}) \right) \setminus R,$$

we also obtain that  $(N_f \cap D) \setminus R$  is Borel (strictly speaking, the right hand side of (10) depends on the complete sequence  $(v_n)$ , but the left hand side does not so  $(N_f \cap D) \setminus R$  is indeed Borel since a complete sequence in  $X$  clearly exists by the separability of  $X$ ).

Since we have the following simple observation: if  $A \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(v)$  and  $B \subset X$  is Borel, then  $A \setminus B \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(v)$ ; we can conclude that  $(N_f \cap D) \setminus R$  is indeed in  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ .  $\square$

#### 4. MAIN THEOREM

**Theorem 10.** *Let  $X$  be a separable Banach space and let  $Y$  be a Banach space with the RNP. Given  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , let  $S(f)$  be the set of all points  $x \in X$  at which  $f$  is pointwise Lipschitz. Then there exists a set  $E \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  such that  $f$  is Gâteaux differentiable at every point of  $S(f) \setminus E$ .*

*Proof.* We follow the proof from [2]. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $G_n$  be the set of all  $x \in X$  such that  $\|f(x+h) - f(x)\| \leq n\|h\|$  whenever  $\|h\| < \frac{1}{n}$ . Lemma 4 implies that each  $G_n$  is closed, and  $S(f) = \bigcup_n G_n$ . Since the distance function  $d_{G_n}(x)$  is Lipschitz on  $X$ , by [8, Theorem 12] there exists a Borel set  $M_n$  such that  $X \setminus M_n \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $d_{G_n}(x)$  is Gâteaux differentiable on  $M_n$ . Let  $D_n := G_n \cap M_n$ . Thus, in particular,  $G_n \setminus D_n \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ . By  $\Omega_n$  denote the set of all points  $x \in D_n$  at which  $f$  is not Gâteaux differentiable. By Lemma 9 applied to  $D_n$  we obtain  $R_n \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  such that  $\Omega_n \setminus R_n \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ .

Define  $E := (\bigcup_n (\Omega_n \setminus R_n) \cup R_n) \cup (\bigcup_n (G_n \setminus D_n))$ . Then  $E \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  by the previous paragraph. If  $x \in S(f) \setminus E$ , then there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x \in G_n \setminus E$ . The condition  $x \notin E$  implies that  $x \notin G_n \setminus D_n$  and  $x \notin \Omega_n$ . Therefore  $x \in D_n \setminus \Omega_n$ , and hence  $f$  is Gâteaux differentiable at  $x$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 11.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space with  $X^*$  separable,  $Y$  be a Banach space with RNP,  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be pointwise Lipschitz outside some set  $C \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  (or even some set  $D$  which is  $\Gamma$ -null),  $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous convex. Then there exists a point  $x \in X$  such that  $f$  is Gâteaux differentiable at  $x$  and  $g$  is Fréchet differentiable at  $x$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $f$  is pointwise Lipschitz outside some  $C \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ . By Theorem 10, there exists  $A \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  such that  $f$  is Gâteaux differentiable at each  $x \in X \setminus (A \cup C)$ . By [7, Corollary 3.11] there exists a  $\Gamma$ -null  $B \subset X$  such that  $g$  is Fréchet differentiable at each  $x \in X \setminus B$ . Since  $A \cup C$  is  $\Gamma$ -null by [12, Theorem 2.4], we have that  $A \cup B \cup C$  is  $\Gamma$ -null and thus there exists  $x \in X \setminus (A \cup B \cup C)$ .

If  $f$  is pointwise Lipschitz outside a  $\Gamma$ -null set  $D$ , then the proof proceeds similarly.  $\square$

#### 5. CONE MONOTONE FUNCTIONS

**Lemma 12.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $K \subset X$  be a closed convex cone with  $0 \neq v \in \text{int}(K)$ , and  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be  $K$ -monotone. If  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} |t|^{-1} |f(x+tv) - f(x)| < \infty$ , then  $f$  is pointwise-Lipschitz at  $x$ .*



*Proof.* Without any loss of generality, we can assume that  $v + B(0, 1) \subset K$ ; then the proof is identical to the proof of [6, Lemma 2.5] (note that there we assume that  $f$  is Gâteaux differentiable at  $x$ , but, in fact, we are only using that  $f$  satisfies  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} |t|^{-1} |f(x + tv) - f(x)| < \infty$ ).  $\square$

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed linear space. We say that  $\|\cdot\|$  is *LUR* at  $x \in S_X$  provided  $x_n \rightarrow x$  whenever  $\|x_n\| = 1$ , and  $\|x_n + x\| \rightarrow 2$ . For more information about rotundity and renormings, see [5].

**Lemma 13.** *Let  $X$  be a separable Banach space,  $K \subset X$  be a closed convex cone,  $v \in \text{int}(K) \cap S_X$ . Then there exists a norm  $\|\cdot\|_1$  on  $X$  which is LUR at  $v$ ,  $x^* \in (X, \|\cdot\|_1)^*$  with  $x^*(v) = \|v\|_1 = \|x^*\| = 1$ , and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  such that  $K_1 := \{x \in X : \|x\|_1 \leq \alpha x^*(x)\}$  is contained in  $K$ .*

*Proof.* The conclusion follows from [5, Lemma II.8.1] (see e.g. the proof of [6, Proposition 15]).  $\square$

**Lemma 14.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $v \in S_X$ ,  $x^* \in X^*$  such that  $\|v\| = \|x^*\| = x^*(v) = 1$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Let  $K_{\alpha, x^*} = \{x \in X : \alpha \|x\| \leq x^*(x)\}$ . Then there exists  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(K, v) \in (0, 1)$  such that if  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$  is a mapping such that  $\psi : t \rightarrow \varphi(t) - tv$  has Lipschitz constant less than  $\varepsilon$ , then  $s < t$  implies  $\varphi(s) \leq_{K_{\alpha, x^*}} \varphi(t)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $x^*(v) = 1$ , for each  $\alpha < \alpha' < 1$  we have  $v \in \text{int}(K_{\alpha', x^*})$ . Fix  $\alpha' \in (\alpha, 1)$ . Let  $\varepsilon := \min(1, \frac{(\alpha' - \alpha)}{2\alpha'(1 + \alpha)})$ . Take  $s < t$ ,  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha' \|\varphi(t) - \varphi(s)\| &\leq \alpha' \|\varphi(t) - tv - (\varphi(s) - sv)\| + \alpha' |t - s| \|v\| \\
 &\leq \alpha' \varepsilon |t - s| + |t - s| x^*(v) \\
 (11) \quad &= \alpha' \varepsilon |t - s| + x^*(tv - \varphi(t) - (sv - \varphi(s)) + x^*(\varphi(t) - \varphi(s)) \\
 &\leq \alpha' \varepsilon |t - s| + \|tv - \varphi(t) - (sv - \varphi(s))\| + x^*(\varphi(t) - \varphi(s)) \\
 &\leq (1 + \alpha') \varepsilon |t - s| + x^*(\varphi(t) - \varphi(s)).
 \end{aligned}$$

As in (11), we show that  $x^*(tv - \varphi(t) - (sv - \varphi(s))) \leq \varepsilon |t - s|$ , and from this we obtain  $|t - s| (x^*(v) - \varepsilon) \leq x^*(\varphi(t) - \varphi(s))$ . Then (11) implies that

$$\alpha' \|\varphi(t) - \varphi(s)\| \leq \left(1 + \frac{(1 + \alpha') \varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon}\right) x^*(\varphi(t) - \varphi(s)).$$

The choice of  $\varepsilon$  shows that  $\alpha \|\varphi(t) - \varphi(s)\| \leq x^*(\varphi(t) - \varphi(s))$ , and therefore  $\varphi(t) \geq_{K_{\alpha, x^*}} \varphi(s)$ .  $\square$

We prove the following theorem, which improves [4, Theorem 9]:

**Theorem 15.** *Let  $X$  be a separable Banach space,  $K \subset X$  be a closed convex cone with  $\text{int}(K) \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose that  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $K$ -monotone. Then  $f$  is Gâteaux differentiable on  $X$  except for a set belonging to  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ .*

*Remark 16.* It is not known whether  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  (see [8, p. 19]). If it is true, then Theorem 15 holds also with  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  instead of  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ .

*Proof.* Without any loss of generality, we can assume that  $f$  is  $K$ -increasing and lower semicontinuous (we can work with  $\underline{f}$  instead by [4, Proposition 17 and Proposition 16(iii)], where  $\underline{f}(x) = \sup_{\delta > 0} \inf_{z \in B(x, \delta)} f(z)$  is the l.s.c. envelope of  $f$ ). By Lemma 13, we can also assume that the norm on  $X$  is LUR at  $v \in S_X$  and

$K = K_{\alpha, x^*} = \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq \alpha x^*(x)\}$  for some  $x^* \in X^*$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  with  $\|x^*\| = x^*(v) = 1$ .

Find  $\eta > 0$  such that  $B(v, \eta) \subset \text{int}(v/2 + K_{\alpha, x^*})$  (such an  $\eta$  exists since obviously  $v \in \text{int}(v/2 + K_{\alpha, x^*})$ ). Let  $x \in X$  be such that  $\|x\| = 1$  and  $\beta\|x\| \leq x^*(x)$  for some  $0 < \beta < 1$ . Since

$$1 + \beta = 1 + \beta\|x\| \leq x^*(v) + x^*(x) \leq \|x + v\|,$$

and the norm on  $X$  is LUR at  $v$ , there exists  $\beta' \in (\alpha, 1)$  such that  $K_{\beta', x^*} \cap S(0, 1) \subset B(v, \eta) \subset v/2 + K_{\alpha, x^*}$  and thus

$$(12) \quad K_{\beta', x^*} \cap S(0, t) \subset B(tv, \eta t) \subset tv/2 + K_{\alpha, x^*}$$

for each  $t > 0$ . Put  $B := \{x \in X : \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(x+tv) - f(x)|}{|t|} = \infty\}$ . Then Lemma 12 shows that  $S(f) = X \setminus B$ , and Lemma 4 shows that  $B$  is Borel. We will show that  $B \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(v)$ . Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$  be a mappings such that  $\psi(t) = \varphi(t) - tv$  has Lipschitz constant strictly less than  $\varepsilon > 0$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is given by application of Lemma 14 to  $K_{\beta', x^*}$ . Suppose that  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfies  $\varphi(r) = x \in B$ . Without any loss of generality, we can assume that there exist  $t_k \rightarrow 0+$  such that  $\frac{f(x+t_kv/2) - f(x)}{t_k/2} \geq k$  (otherwise work with  $-f(\cdot)$ ). For each  $k$ , find  $r_k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\varphi(r_k) \in (x + K_{\beta', x^*}) \cap S(x, t_k)$ . Such  $r_k$  exist since  $\varphi(r) = x$ ,  $\|\varphi(s)\| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $s \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $\varphi(u) \in (x + K_{\beta', x^*})$  by the choice of  $\varepsilon$ . Then (12) implies that  $\varphi(r_k) \geq_{K_{\alpha, x^*}} x + t_kv/2$ , and thus  $f(\varphi(r_k)) \geq f(x + t_kv/2)$ . Now, since  $\psi$  is  $\varepsilon$ -Lipschitz, we have  $(1 - \varepsilon)|r - r_k| \leq \|\varphi(r_k) - \varphi(r)\| = t_k$ , and thus

$$k \leq \frac{f(x + t_kv/2) - f(x)}{t_k/2} \leq \frac{2}{1 - \varepsilon} \cdot \frac{f(\varphi(r_k)) - f(\varphi(r))}{r - r_k}.$$

It follows that  $f \circ \varphi$  is not pointwise Lipschitz at  $r$ . By the choice of  $\varepsilon$  and Lemma 14, we have that  $f \circ \varphi$  is monotone; thus  $\lambda(\{r \in \mathbb{R} : \varphi(r) \in B\}) = 0$  (since monotone functions from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  are known to be a.e. differentiable), and  $B \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(v, \varepsilon/2)$ .

We proved that  $B \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(v)$ . By Lemma 12 we have that  $S(f) = X \setminus B$ . By Theorem 10, there exists a set  $A \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  such that  $f$  is Gâteaux differentiable at all  $x \in X \setminus (A \cup B)$ . In [4, Theorem 9] it is proved that the set  $N_f$  of points of Gâteaux non-differentiability of  $f$  is Borel, and thus we obtain that  $N_f \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  (since  $N_f \subset A \cup B$ ).  $\square$

Theorem 15 and [4, Proposition 16(iv)] show that:

**Corollary 17.** *Let  $X$  be a separable Banach space,  $K \subset X$  be a closed convex cone with  $\text{int}(K) \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose that  $f$  is  $K$ -monotone. Then  $f$  is Hadamard differentiable outside of a set belonging to  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ .*

We also have the following corollary.

**Corollary 18.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space with  $X^*$  separable,  $K \subset X$  be a closed convex cone with  $\text{int}(K) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be  $K$ -monotone,  $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous convex. Then there exists a point  $x \in X$  such that  $f$  is Hadamard differentiable at  $x$  and  $g$  is Fréchet differentiable at  $x$ .*

*Proof.* By Corollary 17, there exists  $A \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  such that  $f$  is Hadamard differentiable at each  $x \in X \setminus A$ . By [7, Corollary 3.11] there exists a  $\Gamma$ -null  $B \subset X$  such that  $g$  is Fréchet differentiable at each  $x \in X \setminus B$ . Since  $A$  is  $\Gamma$ -null by [12, Theorem 2.4], we have that  $A \cup B$  is  $\Gamma$ -null and thus there exists  $x \in X \setminus (A \cup B)$ .  $\square$

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to thank to Prof. Luděk Zajíček for a useful discussion about  $\Gamma$ -null sets.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Benyamini, J. Lindenstrauss, *Geometric Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Vol. 1, Colloquium Publications **48**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2000.
- [2] D. Bongiorno, *Stepanoff's theorem in separable Banach spaces*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **39** (1998), 323–335.
- [3] J.M. Borwein, J.V. Burke, A.S. Lewis, *Differentiability of cone-monotone functions on separable Banach space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (2004), no. 4, 1067–1076.
- [4] J.M. Borwein, X. Wang, *Cone monotone functions: differentiability and continuity*, Canadian J. Math. **57**, 961–982.
- [5] R. Deville, G. Godefroy, V. Zizler, *Smoothness and renormings in Banach spaces*, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 64.
- [6] J. Duda, *Cone monotone mappings: continuity and differentiability*, submitted.
- [7] J. Lindenstrauss, D. Preiss, *On Fréchet differentiability of Lipschitz maps between Banach spaces*, Annals of Math. **157** (2003), 257–288.
- [8] D. Preiss, L. Zajíček, *Directional derivatives of Lipschitz functions*, Israel J. Math. **125** (2001), 1–27.
- [9] H. Rademacher, *Über partielle und totale Differenzierbarkeit*, Math. Ann. **79** (1919), 254–269.
- [10] W. Stepanoff, *Über totale Differenzierbarkeit*, Math. Ann. **90** (1923), 318–320.
- [11] W. Stepanoff, *Sur les conditions de l'existence de la différentielle totale*, Rec. Math. Soc. Math. Moscou **32** (1925), 511–526.
- [12] L. Zajíček, *On sets of non-differentiability of Lipschitz and convex functions*, preprint, available at <http://www.karlin.mff.cuni.cz/kma-preprints>.
- [13] L. Zajíček, *On  $\sigma$ -porous sets in abstract spaces*, Abstract and Applied Analysis 2005 (2005), 509–534.

E-mail address: `duda@karlin.mff.cuni.cz`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WEIZMANN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, REHOVOT 76100, ISRAEL  
 Current address: Charles University, Department of Mathematical Analysis, Sokolovská 83,  
 186 75 Praha 8-Karlín, Czech Republic